Operator Compliance and

Attitudinal Compliance

to Aspects of

Australian Whale Watch

Management Conditions

Thesis submitted by

Joline Michelle LALIME B.Sc. Queensland, M.Sc. Missouri

for the degree of Master of Science in the Department of Tropical Environment Studies and Geography James Cook University - Townsville March 2005

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, author of this work, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network, for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work.

Joline M. Lalime

Date

STATEMENT OF SOURCES

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Joline M. Lalime

Date

STATEMENT OF CONTRIBUTION OF OTHERS

For financial support for my research I am greatly indebted to *Australian Geographic, Prof. Helene Marsh, Dr Peter Corkeron* and *Queensland Parks and Wildlife - Maryborough.* I was also awarded a 2-year, James Cook University Postgraduate Research Scholarship.

The whale watch owners and operators of Hervey Bay, Queensland and Coffs Harbour, New South Wales in 1998 and 1999 were especially accommodating of my research aboard their tour vessels. These were: (*Jason & Virginia Brigdon & Paul Moraye* – Whalesong; *Barry Seymour & Erika Ockinga* – Seaspray; *Peter Lynch* – Blue Dolphin; *Lloyd & Robin Burgess* – Tasman Venture II; *Mimi Macpherson, Drew Gollan & Will Hikuwai* – Discovery; *Shane Boyd, Dick Foster & Sid Boshammer* – Eclipse D; *Bill Shouton, Mick Fuller, Rob Climas & Steve Dunleavy* – Hombre; *Sid Melksham, Angela Burger, Andrew Ellis & Don Caswell* – Islander; *Michael Coe* of Kingfisher Resort Management, *Brent Milne, Don Caswell* – Kingfisher; *Eddy May, Alan Daniels & Glen Bancroft* – Mikat; *Greg & Grant Dorn & Gary Thornton* – Spirit of Hervey Bay; *Leo Zidek & Dave Greensmith* – Stefanie; *Alan Short & Matthew Stewart* – Volante III; *Keith Rawlings & Peter Moffat* – Pacific Explorer; *Peter Toohey & Lynda Parker*– Spirit of Coffs Harbour.

I am indebted to *Dr Ercan Sirakaya*, Department of Recreation, Park and Tourism Sciences, Texas A&M University, for permission to use his survey design and base Chapter 5 on his conceptual theory, as well as, for his endless help and patience in editing my survey questionnaire and providing input into the statistical analysis. Thank you to *Dr Alison Cotterill* and *Associate Professor Mark Fenton* for constructive comments in the early stages of the questionnaire preparation.

I also greatly appreciate the thoughtful participation in the questionnaire survey of the whale watch operators from Queensland, New South Wales and Western Australia on their attitudes towards the National Guidelines.

For logistical support I could have not completed this project without the help of *Kai Yeung, Michael Ford* and *Kirsten Wortel* of Queensland Parks and Wildlife at Maryborough; *Liz Sterling* and *Paul Rebuck* of New South Wales National Parks and Wildlife at Coffs Harbour; *Peter Mawson* (CALM), *Milena Rafic* (Environment Australia); *Shona Smith* and *Fiona Mandelc* – New South Wales Parks and Wildlife.

Of course field work would have been all that more difficult without help to find field assistants from *Bob Edgar* – Coffs Harbour Senior College and *Steve Tucker* Coffs Harbour High School who organised volunteers in Coffs Harbour and *Malcolm Cooper* from University of Southern Queensland, Wide Bay Campus and *Joan Coutts* from the Wildlife Preservation Society, Hervey Bay Branch. Most of all I thank the field assistants themselves *Jane Antrobus, Louise Coles, Amanda Miller, Horst Pfaller, Belinda Roche, and Matt Townsend*.

Thanks also to *Damon Newling* for patience and explanation of many of the statistical procedures that were used in preliminary analysis and to *Dr Michael Steele* for being a fantastic consultant statistician for the majority of my final statistical analyses.

While at James Cook University I could not have completed my candidature without the help of numerous technical and administrative staff who include: *Shirley Bruce, Amanda Comelli, Adella Edwards, Clive Grant, Shannon Hogan, Sue Knight, Susan Meehan, Barbara Pannach, and Trudy Sampson.*

PERSONAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My thanks first and foremost go to my family for endless support throughout this long and drawn out process. I would especially like to thank my mum, *Sabra Austin*, for her loving support, total belief that I can do anything that I set my mind to and for all those hours of taking care of my daughters. I am indebted to my brother *Ian Austin* for moral support and the purchase of a laptop computer to help me work on this thesis anywhere I could find time and my dad *Kevin Austin* for stimulating a love of the outdoors. Finally, I am also indebted to the support of my husband *André Bauer* and to my two wonderful daughters, *Jazlyn* and *Mareike*.

This thesis has been enriched by the assistance, involvement and support of numerous people from Australia and abroad. I wish to distinguish the contributions of my supervisors, *Professor Helene Marsh* and *Dr Peter Corkeron* to the progress and process of my research. Their guidance on all aspects of my studies has been invaluable, and I am sincerely appreciative of their generous devotion of time, support, insightful comments, and interest in my professional and personal development over the years.

It was with great pleasure that I shared frank and informative discussions on the nature of whale watching with a diverse array of people from the whale-related community, including: *Trish & Wally Franklin* – Oceania Project; *Patricia Naessig* – Pacific Whale Foundation; *Hec Goodall, Troy Saville, Amanda Tate & Greg Pickering* – Pet Porpoise Pool, Coffs Harbour; *David Savage*– Queensland Parks and Wildlife, Townsville; *Carol Scarpaci; and Fiona Macknight.*

My thanks also go to my friends, who were there to keep life balanced. In particular, I would like to thank, *Louise Chilvers, Tracey Murray, Damon Newling, Carol Scarpaci, Lisa Siegman, Teresa Thiel,* and *Kate Young*.

I dedicate this thesis to my brother, Michael Austin, whose respect for and dedication to the environment was tragically shortened,

and

to my daughters, Jazlyn and Mareike Bauer, whose learning and discovery about the environment is just beginning.

ABSTRACT

Whale watching is a rapidly growing industry worldwide, involving vulnerable species, with few data on impacts and no data on success of enforcement of regulations. Because of this, the extent to which whale watching may impact on focal species is increasingly becoming an international concern. In early 1998, an international whale-watching research workshop in Monaco identified "problems of enforcement of regulations" as one of the four major problems with the management of whale watching. In 2000, Australia implemented the ANZECC Guidelines for Cetacean Observation in Commonwealth waters, while individual states are responsible for developing their own guidelines or regulations.

Effective management of the whale-watch industry is dependent on operators' compliance to the appropriate management regimes. Operators' compliance with existing regulations and guidelines has not been studied in detail. The study aimed to test whether existing distance and approach conditions for whale watch vessels are an effective regulatory tool by: 1) observing whale watch operations that target humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) in Queensland and New South Wales' waters and 2) conducting a questionnaire survey of whale watch operators' attitudes towards compliance. Movements of whale watch vessels in relation to focal humpback whale pods were tracked using a handheld GPS, a Laser Range Finder and a Digital Compass at the two field sites. This provided an indication of operators' compliance with distance and approach guidelines and regulations. Questionnaire surveys were used to elicit the potential influence of operators' beliefs and perceptions concerning the whale watch guidelines on compliance.

Management differences between the states of Australia even for the same species of cetacean, is a management issue that needs resolution. I found that there are now a total of 35 legislative documents across Australia that provide general or specific protection to whales during general public or commercial vessel observation. Although the legislation is fairly consistent, the inconsistencies across jurisdictions regarding distances of approach and explanations of terms can lead to confusion and the perception that legislation has no basis, increasing the chance of non-compliance.

I used qualitative research methodology to understand the factors that influence the attitudinal compliance of whale watch operators. The whale watch operators' perceptions regarding the level of certainty or level of threat of legal sanctions, the level of monitoring, and endorsement of operators of non-compliance all had a positive relationship with attitudinal compliance of whale watch operators. Attitudinal compliance had a negative relationship with sole owner-operator status, the voluntary nature of guidelines, and the belief in the fairness of guidelines.

Compliance by operators to whale watch guidelines or regulations is influenced by the behaviour of the whale(s) being observed. There is a proportion of the migrating whale population that actively approaches vessels and although operators may encourage this behaviour, it is uncontrollable. Therefore, although there are instances of non-compliance by whale watch operators, it is the active approach by a whale pod that leads to the perception of higher rates of non-compliance by whale watch operators. There was no correlation between operators' level of vessel experience or environmental factors with actual compliance. Furthermore, operators' attitudinal compliance and actual compliance were not correlated.

Recommendations from this study include: 1) improving consistency in legislation to reduce confusion; 2) progressing guidelines to regulations to increase enforceability; 3) increasing person to person consultation between regulators and whale watch operators to increase the understanding of legislation and the perception of fairness and 4) investigating the proportion of migrating whales that are more likely to approach vessels to determine the actual percentage of the population on which the whale watch industry is based as a basis for evaluating the risk of possible detrimental impacts from whale watching.

Keywords:

Whale watch; compliance; tourism management; regulations; humpback whale

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title Page		Page	
Statement of Access		i	
Statement of Sources		iii	
Statement of the Contribution of Others		iv	
Personal Acknowledgements		v	
Abstract		vi	
Table of Contents		viii	
List of Tables		xii	
List of Figures		xiv	
СНА	PTER ONE	Formative Concepts: Why Study Compliance to Whale Watching Management Strategies	1
1.1	Introduct	ion	1
	1.1.1	Aiming for Sustainability	1
	1.1.2	Whale Watching – Development and Concerns	2
	1.1.3	The Role of Compliance	3
1.2	Why Cor	nduct this Study?	3
	1.2.1	The Management Framework	4
	1.2.2	Previous Research regarding Compliance	5
1.3	Researcl	h Direction of this Study	5
	1.3.1	Aims/ Objectives	5
	1.3.2	Thesis Structure	6
СНА		D The Development of Whale Watching	9
2.1	Introduct	ion	9
2.2	Develop	mental History of Environmental Attitudes	12
2.3	Ecologic	ally Sustainable Development	15
	2.3.1	Development of the ESD Concept	15
	2.3.2	Problems of Implementing ESD	16
2.4	Environn	nental Awareness and ESD in Australia	17
2.5	Wildlife N	Aanagement	19
	2.5.1	Historical Development	19
	2.5.2	Wildlife Tourism	21
2.6	Ecotouris	sm	22
	2.6.1	Historical Development	22
	2.6.2	Ecotourism Management	24
2.7	Whales a	as Wildlife	27
2.8		ck Whales General Information	29 29

	2.8.2	Population Level and Status	31
2.9	Whale Wa 2.9.1 2.9.2 2.9.3 2.9.4 2.9.5 2.9.6	atching Historical Development Impacts of Whale Watching International Whaling Commission Management of Whale Watching Internationally Management of Whale Watching in Australia The Future of Whale-Watching	32 32 33 35 37 38 39
2.10	The Rese	arch Framework	40
СНАР	TER THRE	EE From Whaling to Whale-Watching: the Development of Australian Whale-Watch Management	41
3.1	Introductio	on	41
3.2	What are	Policies and Legislative Documents?	41
3.3	Wildlife M	anagement Theory	43
3.4	Developm	nent of Australian Whale Watch Legislation and Policy	52
3.5	The Curre	ent Regulatory Framework	56
СНАР	TER FOUI	R Theories of Compliance: Development and Application to Whale-Watching	61
4.1	Introductio	วท	61
4.2	Developm 4.2.1 4.2.2	nental History Economic Paradigm Non-Economic Paradigm	62 62 64
4.3	Measurab	ble Variables and Four Case Studies	73
4.4	Applicatio	n to Whale-Watching	79
СНАР	TER FIVE	Attitudinal Compliance: What do Operators Really Think About the Management Framework?	82
5.1	Introductio	on	82
5.2	Questionr 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3	naire Development, Design and Distribution Semi-structured Interviews Developing the Questionnaire Questionnaire Distribution	83 83 84 91
5.3	Data Com 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4	npilation and Analysis Attitudinal Compliance Operator and Business Characteristics Test of Compliance Model Limitations and Benefits of the Questionnaire	92 92 92 93 95

5.4	Results 5.4.1 5.4.2 5.4.3 5.4.4 5.4.5	Attitudinal Compliance Operator Characteristics Business Characteristics Attitudes to Regulations and their Likely Effectiveness Test of Compliance Model	96 96 101 103 103
5.5	Discussio 5.5.1 5.5.2 5.5.3 5.5.4	n Operator Characteristics Testing the Hypotheses Comparison of Results with Previous Studies Consultation, Education and Enforcement Increases the Effectiveness of Guidelines and Regulations	107 107 108 110 112
5.6	Conclusio	•	113
СНАР	TER SIX	Operator Compliance: What is Occurring on the Water?	115
6.1	Introduction	on	115
6.2	Descriptic 6.2.1 6.2.2 6.2.3	on of Research Sites Airlie Beach/ Whitsunday Region, Queensland Hervey Bay, Queensland Coffs Harbour, New South Wales	115 115 118 119
6.3	Field Doc 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4	umentation of Operator Compliance Field Time and Vessel Access Equipment Data Collection Justification of Field Methodology	121 121 122 124 127
6.4	Data Com	npilation and Analysis	128
6.5	Results 6.5.1 6.5.2 6.5.3 6.5.4 6.5.5	Overall Operator Compliance to the Distance Condition Visual Representation of Actual Operator Compliance Compliance of Individual Operators Effect of Environmental Factors on Overall Compliance Relationship between Attitudinal and Actual Compliance	129 130 131 138 138 138
6.6	Anecdota	I Observations Contributing to Compliance Research	138
6.7	Discussio	n	139
СНАР	TER SEVE	EN Whale Watch Operator Perceptions of and Actual Compliance with the Australian National Guidelines: Management Implications	142
7.1	Introduction	on	144
7.2	Whale Wa	atching, Compliance and Sustainability	144

7.3	Legislatio	n, Attitudes and Compliance	146
	7.3.1	Review of the Australian Whale Watch Management Framework	146
	7.3.2	Evaluation of Australian Whale Watch Operators' Attitudinal Compliance with National Whale Watch Guidelines	149
	7.3.3	Documentation of Actual Compliance of Whale Watch Operators with Implemented Guidelines and Regulations	151
7.4	Overall R	ecommendations	152
7.5	Conclusio	n	155
Refere	ences		156
Appen Appen	idix 2	Review of Australian Whale Watch Management Framework Survey Questionnaire List of Political Party Web Sites	178 204 214
Appendix 3		Attitudinal Compliance Scores	214
Appendix 4 Appendix 5		Forward Multiple Regression Results	216
Appendix 6		Example Data Sheet	218
Appendix 7		Example Weather Sheet	219
Appendix 8		Scatter Plots of Compliance vs Beaufort Scale and Percent Compliance	220

LIST OF TABLES

Table Number	Title	Page
3.1	Impacts of Human-Wildlife Interactions that may apply to Whale Watching	51
3.2	Current Legislation and Guidelines Relevant to Whale Watching in Australia	55
3.3	Whale Watching Policy – a Comparison of Distance and Approach Methods across Jurisdictions	59
4.1	Economic and Non-Economic Paradigms of Compliance Theory	61
4.2	Developmental History of Economic Compliance Theory	63
4.3	Developmental History of Non-Economic Compliance Theory	65
4.4	Akers (1985): Theories of Deviance	69
4.5	Jackson & Milliron (1986): Theories of Tax Compliance	69
4.6	Six Categories of Non-Compliance	71
4.7	Variables used in Compliance Models	74
5.1	Summary of the regression analyses performed to gain an understanding of the variables that influence attitudinal compliance of the 27 operators from Queensland, New South Wales and Western Australia who returned a questionnaire	94
5.2	The operational status of the of the 27 whale watch operators from Queensland, New South Wales and Western Australia who returned the questionnaire	97
5.3	The gender, age, educational level and political affiliation of the 27 whale watch operators from Queensland, New South Wales and Western Australia who returned the questionnaire	98
5.4	The number of years of experience as operators of commercial vessels and whale watch vessels of the 27 whale watch operators from Queensland, New South Wales and Western Australia who returned the questionnaire	99
5.5	The level of familiarity with the Australian National Guidelines and applicable management conditions for each state for the 27 whale watch operators from Queensland, New South Wales and Western Australia who returned a questionnaire	100
5.6	Passenger numbers, advertisement and length of operation characteristics of the whale watch businesses operated by the 27 whale watch operators from Queensland, New South Wales and Western Australia who returned a questionnaire	101
5.7	The number of years that the businesses employing the 27 whale watch operators from Queensland, New South Wales and Western Australia who returned a questionnaire have held a permit to whale watch	102

Table Number	Title	Page
5.8	Alternative major activities undertaken during the non-whale watch season by the 27 whale watch operators from Queensland, New South Wales and Western Australia who returned a questionnaire and the amount of contact these operators had with a professional organisation or inspection agency	103
5.9	Results of the four forward multiple regressions that tested for the variables that significantly affect attitudinal compliance towards whale watch guidelines	105
6.1	Summary of Field Time	122
6.2	A summary of the data collected about whale watch activities in Hervey Bay and Coffs Harbour in 1998 and 1999	131
6.3	Levels of commercial vessel and whale watch vessel experience and percentage non-compliance of eight operators from Hervey Bay and Coffs Harbour in 1998 and 1999	138
6.4	The relationship between percentage non-compliance and Beaufort sea state or percentage cloud cover for 43 trips in Hervey Bay	139
6.5	The relationship between percentage non-compliance and Beaufort sea state or percentage cloud cover for 40 trips in Coffs Harbour	139
6.6	Attitudinal compliance score and actual percentage of non- compliance for seven operators who had been observed by me and had answered the questionnaire	140

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Number	Title	Page
3.1	Examples of brochures from the 1998 and 1999 whale watch seasons, advertising the whale watch experience	48
4.1	Diagrammatic Representation of: A) Bandura's (1968) Social- Learning Theory and B) Kohlberg's (1969, 1976 & 1984) Theory of Socialisation/ Moralisation	64
4.2	Diagrammatic Representation of Mischel & Mishchels' (1976) Prosocial Behaviour Theory	67
5.1	Sirakaya's (1997) Integrative Model of Ecotour Operator Compliance	83
5.2	Model of Whale Watch Operator Attitudinal Compliance	85
6.1	Location of Research Areas	116
6.2	Whitsundays Planning Area showing the Whale Protection Area	117
6.3	Hervey Bay Marine Park and Monitoring Area	119
6.4	A map of the Solitary Islands Marine Park and location of the northern section of the data collection area out of Coffs Harbour	120
6.5	A visual representation of compliance measurements taken to allow for compliance calculations and plotting of paths of vessels and whale pods with respect to each other	125
6.6	The positions of the focal pod(s) and secondary vessels in relation to the primary vessel during a 66-minute period	133
6.7	The path of the primary vessel and the focal pods during a 66- minute period	134
6.8	Time Period 1117 hours – 1125 hours. The paths of the primary vessel and focal pods 1 and 2.	135
6.9	Time Period 1127 hours – 1145 hours. The paths of the primary vessel, focal pods 3, 5 and 6 and secondary vessel 12.	136
6.10	Time Period 1147 hours – 1223 hours. The paths of the primary vessel, focal pod 7 and secondary vessels 7, 13 and 30.	137