

Trophic Structure and the Importance of Terrestrial Wetland Producers for Aquatic Food Webs in Tropical Australian Estuaries

Thesis submitted by

Kátya Gisela dos Santos ABRANTES BSc (Hons)

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in Marine Biology
within the School of Marine and Tropical Biology
James Cook University

Townsville, February 2008

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and, via the Australian Digital Theses network (unless granted an exemption), for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I do not wish to place any further restriction on access to this work.

ELECTRONIC COPY OF THESIS FOR LIBRARY DEPOSIT

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

STATEMENT ON SOURCES

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

ABSTRACT

Estuaries support a great density and diversity of life and are traditionally considered to be important nursery areas for a variety of species, providing abundant and essential food supply and refuge from predation for juveniles of several fish and invertebrate species. However, to date no study has provided unequivocal evidence supporting this paradigm. In fact, recent studies based on the analysis of stable isotopes have shown that the importance of estuarine terrestrial wetland habitats such as mangroves and salt marsh in supplying energy to animals in adjacent aquatic habitats is not as significant as once thought. The objective of the present thesis is use stable isotopic analysis to clarify the importance of terrestrial wetland productivity as a source of energy for estuarine communities in the Australian Wet and Dry Tropics and to study the processes of energy flow taking place in these systems. Overall, material of terrestrial wetland origin was found to be incorporated into estuarine food webs in Tropical Australia. However, this importance is dependent on several physical and ecological factors including productivity of the different habitats, type and extension of wetland vegetation and connectivity.

In a first study, stable isotope analysis of carbon and nitrogen were used to analyse processes of energy flow and assess the extend to which carbon fixed by terrestrial plants is incorporated into adjacent aquatic food webs in two intermittently connected estuarine pools in the Ross River floodplain in North Queensland, Australia. The two pools differed in surrounding vegetation as one was surrounded by mangroves and the other by the salt couch *Sporobolus virginicus*. Since $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of C3 mangroves (low $\delta^{13}\text{C}$) are very different from those of the C4 salt couch (high $\delta^{13}\text{C}$), it was possible to determine the importance of terrestrial wetland producers by comparing isotope values of consumers between sites. The IsoSource model was also used to clarify the importance of the different potential sources to consumers. An incorporation mangrove and *S. virginicus* material was detected for several fish and invertebrate species at both sites, indicating that carbon of terrestrial origin is incorporated in the estuarine food

web. A linear negative relationship between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ was also detected for primary producers, primary consumers and secondary consumers at the *Sporobolus* pool. This relationship was similar for the different trophic levels and was found to be useful to calculate trophic positions. A food web of ~3.5 trophic levels was found at both pools.

In a more detailed study, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ analysis was used to determine the extent to which carbon of terrestrial origin is important for nutrition of juveniles of four penaeid prawn species, and to detect and describe ontogenetic variations in diet. These species were selected because penaeids are known to depend on estuarine wetland habitats such as mangroves and salt marsh at their juvenile stage. Although an incorporation of mangrove and salt marsh carbon was detected, it was not of a major importance for any species, and autochthonous sources seemed more important. Ontogenetic shifts in diet were detected for *Penaeus (Fenneropenaeus) merguensis*, *Metapenaeus bennetae* and *Penaeus esculentus*, and corresponded to an increase in mean trophic level as well as to changes in the ultimate sources of energy.

In a broader scale study, the incorporation of terrestrial wetland productivity in estuarine food webs was studied in four open estuarine systems in Tropical Australia. These included a near-pristine system in the Wet Tropics (Deluge Inlet), two impacted systems in the Wet Tropics (Victoria and Half Moon Creeks), and a near pristine system in the Dry Tropics (Blacksoil Creek). Incorporation of mangrove derived carbon was detected for Deluge Inlet and Victoria Creek and incorporation of carbon of sugarcane origin was also detected for fish from Victoria Creek. The degree of incorporation of mangrove carbon into estuarine food webs seemed to relate directly to the type and extent of mangrove vegetation adjacent to the estuary. Trophic structure differed between estuaries, but in all areas a constant trophic length with about four trophic levels was detected. Stable isotope results also suggest a high level of omnivory and diet overlap between fish species at Deluge Inlet, Half Moon Creek and Blacksoil Creek, but not for the agriculture impacted system of Victoria Creek, which can be a reflection of the great level of anthropogenic impact in this area.

In a final study, the seasonality in importance of autochthonous and allochthonous carbon for aquatic communities in six intermittently connected estuarine areas of the Australian Dry Tropics was investigated. Results varied between sites, depending of site-specific ecological conditions. The hydrology regime was a major factor controlling the sources of energy in these areas, controlling the amount of terrestrial material available to aquatic animals throughout the year and allowing the presence of an energetic connectivity between the terrestrial and aquatic environments. An important seasonal variation in the main sources of energy was detected in two systems, where a greater incorporation of carbon of terrestrial origin was present after the wet season. Hence, aquatic food webs may rely alternatively on autochthonous and allochthonous sources of energy, depending on the season. Trophic organization, including level of omnivory, diet overlap and trophic length, was also found to differ between systems and seasons due to differences in species composition, resource availability, connectivity, and type and level of environmental disturbances. While trophic length seems to be similar between open estuarine areas, with food webs having ~4 trophic levels, in intermittently connected areas trophic length was more variable between systems, with between 3.2 and 4 trophic levels.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am extremely grateful to my supervisor Marcus Sheaves for all scientific guidance, invaluable support, for sharing his wisdom and above all for his friendship throughout this journey. Thanks for everything! I would also like to thank my co-supervisor John Collins for his encouragement and for sharing his knowledge on invertebrate ecology. I am also very thankful to Ross Johnston for his invaluable support over the years, in especial for his assistance with the fish collections. This study would not be what it is without you.

This PhD was funded by the Foundation for Science and Technology, Ministry of Science and Technology, Portugal, to which I am forever grateful. I would also like to thank the WWF Education for Nature (EFN) Program for the grants received in 2003 and 2004, which allowed me to start exploring the world of stable isotopes. I also thank the CRC for Coastal Zone, Estuary & Waterway Management for funding of both field trips and laboratorial analyses.

A big thank you to Rene Diocares from Griffith University in Brisbane for all the samples promptly analysed through the years. I am also very grateful to Andy Revill from CSIRO Marine Laboratories in Hobart for his help with the analysis of stable isotope samples and especially for introducing me to a mass spectrometer and showing me how little pelletised samples transform themselves into numbers in an Excel file.

I would also like to thank Nanette Hooker from James Cook University for the immeasurable help with plant identifications, and John Morrison and Simon Wever for the help with the aquarium experiments. To my friend Mariana Nahas for her help weighing and encapsulating samples, and for her friendship throughout the years. To Janine Sheaves for the invertebrate identifications, but most importantly for all the fun times, lamingtons, lollies and balloons shared.

Thanks to the nematode girl Pakamas Thinphanga for her companionship, for all the coke and procrastination material shared, and especially for the lunchtime company that kept me sane for so long. I miss eating 2-min noodles in the veranda already... Thank you so much for your friendship!

To my first officemate Ann Penny for all the fun we had at the office, in the lab and during field trips, and for all she taught me about Queensland, fish, fishing, fisherman and a few other North Queenslandic matters. For teaching me how to say “mate” properly. Thanks mate!

To the lab people who made the estuarine lab such a special place in so many ways: Marcus, John, Pakamas, Ross, Ann, Janine, Ron Baker, Leanne Currey, Christina Kulfan, Karen Tsui, Liliana Ortiz-Guerra and Matthew Knott. And a special thanks for the Duck brothers. I will forever treasure the times spent in that lab, a lab that for so many years was a home to me.

To Adam Barnett for all his love and encouragement, especially during the last stages of thesis write-up.

And most importantly, I would like to thank my parents Carlos and Guida Abrantes for all the love and support throughout my life, even when I had no income (or any prospects of having one) and had the crazy dream of going to Australia for a PhD. Thanks for teaching me that the most important richness I can ever have is inside my brain. And that the sky is the limit. To them I dedicate this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement of Access	ii
Statement on Sources Declaration.....	iv
Abstract.....	v
Acknowledgements.....	viii
Table of Contents.....	x
List of Tables.....	xiii
List of Figures	xvi
Chapter 1 General Introduction	1
Chapter 2 Trophic Structure and Importance of Terrestrial Wetland Producers for Aquatic Animal Communities in the Ross River Estuarine Floodplain.....	8
2.1. Introduction.....	8
2.2. Methods.....	11
2.2.1. Study Area	11
2.2.2. Sample Collection.....	13
2.2.3. Sample Processing and Analysis.....	16
2.2.4. Data Analysis.....	17
2.3. Results	22
2.3.1. Stable Isotope Results.....	22
2.3.2. Relationship Between $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and Trophic Position	29
2.3.3. Sources of Energy	32
2.4. Discussion	43
2.4.1. Relationship Between $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and Trophic Position	43
2.4.2. Sources of Energy	48
2.4.3. Conclusions	54
Chapter 3 Diet and Sources of Energy Supporting Juvenile Penaeid Prawns in an Australian Dry Tropics Estuary.....	56
3.1. Introduction.....	56
3.2. Methods.....	58
3.2.1. Study Area	58
3.2.2. Sample Collection and Processing	58
3.2.3. Sample Processing and Analysis.....	59
3.2.4. Data Analysis.....	59
3.3. Results	62
3.3.1. Producers	62

3.3.2. Consumers	63
3.3.3. Sources of Energy	68
3.4. Discussion	77
3.4.1. Differences in Diet Between Sites, Species and Size Classes	78
3.4.2. Sources of Energy	80
3.4.3. Conclusion	83
 Chapter 4 Sources of Energy and Trophic Structure in Open Estuarine Systems of Tropical Australia	84
4.1. Introduction	84
4.2. Methods	87
4.2.1. Study Sites	87
4.2.2. Sample Collection, Processing and Analysis	90
4.2.3. Data Analysis	91
4.3. Results	97
4.3.1. Sources of Energy	97
4.3.2. Trophic Structure	100
4.4. Discussion	119
4.4.1. Detailed Analysis of the Sources of Energy and Food Web Structure in the Near-Pristine Estuary of Deluge Inlet	120
4.4.2. Sources of Energy for Estuarine Communities	126
4.4.3. Trophic Structure	131
4.4.4. Conclusion	135
 Chapter 5 Seasonality in Sources of Energy for Aquatic Communities in Intermittently Connected Estuarine Areas	136
5.1. Introduction	136
5.2. Methods	138
5.2.1. Study Sites	138
5.2.2. Sample Collection, Processing and Analysis	144
5.2.3. Sampling Design	144
5.2.4. Data Analysis	145
5.3. Results	148
5.3.1. Differences in Sources of Carbon Between Locations and Seasons	148
5.3.2. Sources of Energy and Trophic Pathways	155
5.4. Discussion	174
5.4.1. Sources of Energy	174
5.4.2. Trophic Structure	179
5.4.3. Conclusion	184

Chapter 6 General Discussion	186
6.1. Main findings of this study	187
6.2. Management Implications.....	194
6.3. Directions for Future Research.....	195
Chapter 7 References	198

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Carbon isotope composition of producers collected at the Mangrove and <i>Sporobolus</i> sites. For $n = 2$ range is indicated, and for $n > 2$ mean (\pm SE) is presented.....	23
Table 2.2. Nitrogen isotope composition of producers collected at the Mangrove and <i>Sporobolus</i> sites. For $n = 2$ range is indicated, and for $n > 2$ mean \pm SE is presented.....	24
Table 2.3. Size range and carbon and nitrogen isotopic composition (mean \pm SE) of organisms collected at the Mangrove site. Sizes indicate total length for fish (in 5 mm size classes), prawns, shrimps and gastropods. n = number of samples analysed. When $n = 2$, the range is presented. Numbers between brackets indicate number of individuals included in the samples composed by more than one animal.	25
Table 2.4. Size range and carbon and nitrogen isotopic composition (mean \pm SE) of organisms collected at the <i>Sporobolus</i> site. Sizes indicate total length for fish (in 5 mm size classes), prawns, shrimps and gastropods, and carapace width for crabs. n = number of samples analysed. When $n = 2$, the range is presented. Numbers between brackets indicate number of individuals included in the samples composed by more than one animal.....	26
Table 2.5. Primary and secondary consumers considered for the analysis of the relationship between consumer's $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and trophic position. Diet type and reference are also indicated. Bact = bacteria eaters; Detr = detritivores; Herb = herbivores; Ins = insectivores; MBC = macrobenthic carnivores; PDetr = phytodetritivores; Plank = planktivores.	30
Table 2.6. IsoSource results for decapods and fish collected at the Mangrove site. Values for each source represent the median followed by the 1 st to 99 th percentile range of potential contribution. For each animal species, producers with a necessary contribution (minimum potential contribution >0%) are in bold. Trophic level = trophic level considered for the IsoSource model. BP = benthic producers; Epiph = epiphytes; Mangr = mangroves; SP = suspended producers; <i>S. virg</i> = <i>S. virginicus</i>	39
Table 2.7. IsoSource results for decapods and fish collected at the <i>Sporobolus</i> site. Values represent the median and the 1 st to 99 th percentile range of potential contribution. For each animal species, producers with a necessary contribution (minimum potential contribution >0%) are in bold. Trophic level = trophic level	

considered for the IsoSource model. BP = benthic producers; Epiph = epiphytes; Mangr = mangroves; SP = suspended producers; *S. virg* = *S. virginicus*.....42

Table 3.1. $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values (mean \pm SE) of prawn juveniles collected at each site. n = number of samples.64

Table 3.2. Range of possible trophic levels calculated for each species based on $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of producers from each site, and final range of possible trophic levels based on the combination of these values.70

Table 4.1. Physical, ecological and conservation conditions of the four estuarine areas considered in this study. Information based on Ozestuaries (www.ozestuaries.org) and Bruinsma (2001), with the exception of Blacksoil Creek, for which information is based on personal observations.89

Table 4.2. Mangrove and C_4 wetland producers used to calculate the range in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ characteristic of these producers in Central and North Queensland, with an indication of sites of collection. n indicates number of samples analysed.93

Table 4.3. Size range and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values (mean \pm SE) of organisms collected at Deluge Inlet. Sizes indicate total length for fish (in 5 mm size classes), prawns, shrimps and molluscs, and carapace width for crabs. n = number of samples analysed. When $n = 2$, the range is presented. Numbers between brackets indicate number of individuals included in the sample, in cases where samples were composed by more than one animal..... 101

Table 5.1. Size range and carbon and nitrogen isotope composition of organisms collected in Saltwater pool. n = number of samples analysed. When $n = 2$, the range is indicated, and when $n > 2$ mean \pm SE is presented. Sizes indicate total length (in 5 mm size classes for fish). Numbers between brackets indicate number of individuals included in samples composed by more than one animal. 156

Table 5.2. Size range and nitrogen and carbon isotope composition of organisms collected in Curralea Lake. n = number of samples analysed. When $n = 2$, the range is indicated. Sizes indicate total length (in 5 mm size classes) for fish and carapace width for crabs..... 159

Table 5.3. Size range and carbon and nitrogen isotope composition of organisms collected in Paradise Lake. n = number of samples analysed. When $n = 2$, the range is indicated. When $n > 2$, mean (\pm SE) is presented. Sizes are in total length (in 5 mm size classes for fish)..... 160

Table 5.4. Size range and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of organisms collected in Munduran pool. n = number of samples analysed. When $n = 2$, the range is indicated and

when $n > 2$, mean (\pm SE) is presented. Sizes indicate total length (in 5 mm size classes for fish)..... 166

Table 5.5. Size range and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ results of organisms collected in Gonong pool. n = number of samples analysed. When $n = 2$, the range is indicated. When $n > 2$, mean (\pm SE) is presented. Sizes are in total length for fish (5 mm size classes) and prawns, and carapace width for crabs..... 167

Table 5.6. Size range and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ results (mean \pm SE) of organisms collected in Twelve Mile pool. n = number of samples analysed. When $n = 2$, the range is indicated. Sizes indicate total length (in 5 mm size classes for fish). Numbers in brackets indicate number of individuals included in samples composed by more than one animal. 171

LIST OF FIGURES

- Fig. 2.1. Geographic location and sketch map of the two sampling locations in the Ross River estuarine floodplain. Pools marked with letters (A-E) correspond to locations sampled for the validation of the comparison of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of animals between sites (see text). 12
- Fig. 2.2. Mean isotopic composition of producers (boxes), invertebrates (white symbols) and fish (grey symbols) from the two Ross River floodplain pools. Source categories (as defined in text) are plotted as boxes delimiting isotopic values of all producers within the category. Invertebrates: ○- molluscs; △- peracarid crustaceans; □ - decapod crustaceans. Fish: ● - herbivores; ⊙ - detritivores; ▼ - omnivores; ▲ - planktivores; ■ - macrobenthic carnivores; ◆ - minor piscivores; ◇ - major piscivores; + - unknown diet. 28
- Fig. 2.3. Relationship between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and trophic position for primary producers, primary consumers and secondary consumers found at the *Sporobolus* site. Each symbol represents the mean for a taxonomic group. Lines represent best fit for each trophic group, following results from ANCOVA: primary producers (●): $\delta^{15}\text{N} = -0.486 \times \delta^{13}\text{C} - 4.72$; primary consumers (○): $\delta^{15}\text{N} = -0.486 \times \delta^{13}\text{C} - 0.83$; secondary consumers (■): $\delta^{15}\text{N} = -0.486 \times \delta^{13}\text{C} + 2.84$ 32
- Fig. 2.4. Mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of producer categories (black symbols), and animals (white symbols) collected at the Mangrove (circles) and *Sporobolus* (squares) sites, illustrating the difference between sites. Producers: Em = epilithic microalgae; MPB = microphytobenthos; SP = suspended producers; Sv = *S. virginicus*. Note the difference in the y axis. 35
- Fig. 2.5. Carbon and nitrogen isotope composition (mean \pm SE) of *P. merguensis* and *M. bennetae* prawns collected from five semi-isolated pools in the Ross River floodplain. Site of collection is indicated by letters (A-E) (refer to the map on Fig. 2.1). Date of collection is in brackets: O03 = October 2003; M04 = March 2004; O04 = October 2004; M06 = March 2006. 36
- Fig. 3.1. Sketch map of the Ross River estuarine floodplain showing the eleven pools (A-K) where collections of *P. merguensis* and *M. bennetae* juveniles were carried out for the validation of the assumption of low movement of animals between pools. The two main sites of collection (Mangrove and *Sporobolus* site) are also indicated. 60
- Fig. 3.2. Carbon and nitrogen isotope composition (mean \pm SE; $n = 2-6$) of *P. merguensis* (black symbols) and *M. bennetae* (white symbols) juveniles collected

- in March 2006, showing the differences between pools. Letters (A-K) represent the pools of collection, as indicated in Fig. 3.1.63
- Fig. 3.3. Eight-leaf classification and regression tree explaining prawn' $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values based on site of collection, prawn species and prawn size. Histograms of distribution of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ are presented below terminal nodes, and mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and sample size (in brackets) for each group are also indicated. *M. benn* = *M. bennetae*; *P. esc* = *P. esculentus*; *P. merg* = *P. merguensis*; *P. mon* = *P. monodon*. Small and Large = smaller and larger size classes respectively.66
- Fig. 3.4. Four-leaf classification and regression tree explaining prawn $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values based on site of collection, prawn species and prawn size. Histograms of distribution of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ are presented below terminal nodes, and mean $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and sample size (in brackets) for each group are also indicated. *M. benn* = *M. bennetae*; *P. esc* = *P. esculentus*; *P. merg* = *P. merguensis*; *P. mon* = *P. monodon*. Small and Large = smaller and larger size classes respectively.67
- Fig. 3.5. Mean (\pm SE) $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of producers (black symbols) and prawns (white symbols) collected at the Mangrove site (circles) and at the *Sporobolus* site (squares), illustrating the difference in isotope composition between sites. Dec Mg = decomposing mangrove leaves; SP = suspended producers. Mangroves include *A. marina* and *A. corniculatum*. l/s - larger/smaller size class respectively. Arrows indicate the direction of change between the mangrove and *Sporobolus* site.69
- Fig. 3.6. IsoSource results for the minimum (white boxes), intermediate (grey boxes) and maximum (black boxes) possible trophic levels for *P. merguensis* juveniles collected at the Mangrove and at the *Sporobolus* pools. Boxes represent the 1st to 99th percentile range of feasible contributions of the different autotroph categories. Lines within boxes represent the median value of feasible contributions. Cross-hatched boxes correspond to results for the trophic level suggested by the literature. BP = benthic producers; Epiph = epiphytes; Mangr = mangroves; SP = suspended producers; *S. virg* = *S. virginicus*.71
- Fig. 3.7. IsoSource results for the minimum (white boxes), intermediate (grey boxes) and maximum (black boxes) possible trophic levels for *M. bennetae* juveniles collected at the Mangrove and at the *Sporobolus* pools. Boxes represent the 1st to 99th percentile range of feasible contributions of the different autotroph categories. Lines within boxes represent the median value of feasible contributions. Cross-hatched boxes indicate results for the trophic level closest to reality (see text). BP = benthic producers; Epiph = epiphytes; Mangr = mangroves; SP = suspended producers; *S. virg* = *S. virginicus*.73

- Fig. 3.8. IsoSource results for the minimum (white boxes), intermediate (grey boxes) and maximum (black boxes) possible trophic levels for *P. esculentus* juveniles collected at the *Sporobolus* pool. Boxes represent the 1st to 99th percentile range of feasible contributions of the different autotroph categories. Lines within boxes represent the median value of feasible contributions. Cross-hatched boxes correspond to results for the trophic level closest to that suggested by the literature. BP = benthic producers; Epiph = epiphytes; Mangr = mangroves; SP = suspended producers; *S. virg* = *S. virginicus*.....75
- Fig. 3.9. IsoSource results for the minimum (white boxes), intermediate (grey boxes) and maximum (black boxes) possible trophic levels for *P. monodon* juveniles collected at the Mangrove and at the *Sporobolus* pools. Boxes represent the 1st to 99th percentile range of feasible contributions of the different autotroph categories. Lines within boxes represent the median value of feasible contributions. Cross-hatched boxes correspond to results for the trophic level closest to that suggested by the literature. BP = benthic producers; Epiph = epiphytes; SP = suspended producers; *S. virg* = *S. virginicus*.77
- Fig. 4.1. Map showing the location of the four estuarine systems considered in this study. Scale and coordinates are for the inset map.....88
- Fig. 4.2. Map showing the geographic locations of collection of mangroves and C₄ plants used for the calculation of the ranges in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ characteristic of these producers used in this study.94
- Fig. 4.3. Box plots showing the median (line within the boxes), interquartile ranges (indicated by boxes), 10th and 90th percentiles (whiskers) and outliers (+) of a) $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and b) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of fish from Deluge Inlet and Victoria, Half Moon, and Blacksoil Creeks. Results calculated based on the average values of each fish species. Arrows indicate range in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of the different classes of producers (see text). BP = benthic producers; C₄ = C₄ producers; M = mangroves; PP = planktonic producers; SG = seagrass. *n* = number of species included.99
- Fig. 4.4. Mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (\pm SE) of producers and zooplankton (black symbols), invertebrates (white symbols) and fish (grey symbols) from Deluge Inlet. $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ levels corresponding to the different trophic levels are indicated on the right of the graph (see text). Invertebrates: ○ - molluscs; ⊙ - polychaetes; △ - peracarids; □ - decapods. Fish: ● - herbivores; ⊙ - detritivores; ▼ - omnivores; ▲ - planktivores; ■ - carnivores (macrobenthic carnivores and minor piscivores); ◆ - major piscivores. MPB = microphytobenthos; ZP = zooplankton..... 103
- Fig. 4.5. Cluster analysis (group average) based on Euclidian similarities in fish $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values, displaying coherent groups (bold lines) identified by SIMPROF

- analysis at $p = 0.01$. Species are indicated in the x axis, and y axis corresponds to Euclidian dissimilarities between groups (‰). 105
- Fig. 4.6. Mean isotopic values (\pm SE) of fish from Deluge Inlet. a) \circ - herbivores; \bullet - detritivores; \bullet - carnivores (macrobenthic carnivores and minor piscivores). b) \circ - omnivores; \circ - planktivores; \bullet - major piscivores. c) Indication of distribution of the different trophic groups in the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ plane. Note the differences in the y axis. 106
- Fig. 4.7. Corrected $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of phytodetritivorous fish (\circ) and original $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of potential contributors (\bullet) for Deluge Inlet. Distributions of feasible contributions of the different producers to phytodetritivores are also indicated, based on the IsoSource model (run on $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values) (M = median; range = 1st-99th percentile). MPB = microphytobenthos..... 108
- Fig. 4.8. Corrected $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of fish consumers (black symbols, bold) and original values of potential food sources (grey symbols) for Deluge Inlet. a) Small invertebrate feeders with zooplankton, benthic peracarids and *Acetes* and shrimp post larvae; b) macrobenthic carnivores and minor piscivores with molluscs (\circ), peracarids (Δ), polychaetes (\odot), *Acetes* and shrimp postlarvae (\diamond), prawns (\diamond), and crabs (\square); c) major piscivores with clupeoids, ponyfish, silverbiddies and whiting. Ab = *A. berda*; Ah = *A. hyalosoma*; Bb = *B. butis*; Ci = *C. ignobilis*; Cs = *C. sexfasciatus*; Gm = *G. minuta*; Ll = *L. lentjan*; Lr = *L. russellii*; Pk = *P. kaakan*; Sb = *S. barracuda*; Sc = *S. commersonianus*; Sl = *S. lysan*; Sp = *S. putnamae*; Ss = *S. sihama*; St = *S. tala*; Tc = *T. chatareus*. Error bars correspond to standard errors, where organisms of same taxonomic group that had similar $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values were grouped (a and b), or standard errors for a species (c). Species or groups of species mentioned in text are indicated. Note the differences in the y axis. 110
- Fig. 4.9. Mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of decapods (white symbols) and fish (grey symbols) from a) Victoria, b) Half Moon and c) Blacksoil Creeks. Arrows indicate $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ranges of the different producer categories (see text). $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ levels for each trophic level are indicated on the right of each graph. Fish: \circ - herbivores; \odot - detritivores; ∇ - omnivores; Δ - planktivores; \square - carnivores (macrobenthic carnivores and minor piscivores); \diamond - major piscivores. Species mentioned in text are also indicated: Ac = *A. chacunda*; Ae = *A. endrachtensis*; As = *A. sclerolepis*; Ls = *L. subviridis*; Nc = *N. come*; Pm = *P. merguensis*; Sa = *S. analis*; Ss = *S. serrata*; Val = *Valamugil* sp.; Vs = *V. seheli*. Note the differences in the y axis. 113
- Fig. 4.10. Mean (\pm SE) carbon and nitrogen stable isotopic composition of consumers from Victoria Creek. a) Herbivorous (\circ), detritivorous (\odot) and planktivorous (\bullet) fish

- species. b) Decapods (○), carnivorous fish (◐) (macrobenthic carnivores and minor piscivores) and major piscivores (●). s/l - smaller and larger size class. ... 116
- Fig. 4.11. Mean (\pm SE) carbon and nitrogen isotope composition of consumers from Half Moon Creek. a) Decapods (○) and detritivorous (◐) and planktivorous (●) fish. b) Carnivorous fish. c) Major piscivores. Note the differences in the y axis..... 117
- Fig. 4.12. Mean (\pm SE) carbon and nitrogen isotope composition of fish found in Blacksoil Creek. a) Herbivorous (○), detritivorous (◐) and omnivorous (●) species. b) Planktivorous (○), carnivorous (◐) and major piscivorous (●) fish species. Note the differences in the y axis. 118
- Fig. 4.13. General model for the Deluge Inlet food web showing the main trophic pathways based on stable isotope results from this study. Squares correspond to the ultimate carbon sources, and ellipses to consumers. Shapes delimitate isotope values of all or most species within the trophic group. Arrows indicate main trophic links. Darker arrows suggest stronger links, dashed arrows weaker, and light arrows indicate links of intermediate importance, as suggested by stable isotope analysis. The five main trophic chains are indicated: (1) mangrove-microphytobenthos based; (2) plankton based; (3) and (4) seagrass-microphytobenthos based; and (5) seagrass based. See details in text. Primary producers: Mangr = mangroves; MPB = microphytobenthos. Consumers: Invert. detritivores = invertebrate detritivores; Detr + Herb = detritivores and herbivores. * - plankton not sampled in the present study. 124
- Fig. 5.1. Map showing the geographic location of the study areas. 139
- Fig. 5.2. Mean rainfall (mm) recorded for Townsville (averages from 1940 to 2004) and Rockhampton (averages from 1949 to 2004) (Bureau of Meteorology 2007), to illustrate the differences in rainfall pattern between North and Central Queensland respectively. 140
- Fig. 5.3. Box plots showing the median (line within boxes), interquartile ranges (indicated by boxes), 10th and 90th percentiles (whiskers) and outliers (*) of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of fish from the different pools collected in the pre-wet (white boxes) and post-wet (grey boxes) seasons. Graphs based on the average $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of fish species. Arrows indicate range in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of the different classes of producers (see text). BP = benthic producers; C3 = C₃ plants; C4 = C₄ plants; PP = planktonic producers. Numbers above boxes indicate sample size (number of species). 149
- Fig. 5.4. Six-leaf classification and regression tree explaining fish $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ based on location and sampling season. Model calculated based on the average $\delta^{13}\text{C}$. Histograms of distribution of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ are also presented, and mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and sample

size (in brackets) for each group are indicated. 12M = Twelve Mile; CL and PL = Curralea and Paradise Lakes; Gon = Gonong; Mund = Munduran; Sw = Saltwater. 150

Fig. 5.5. Multivariate CART explaining the changes in fish $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ between the pre-wet and post-wet seasons for the different sites. Model calculated based on differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ between the post-wet and the pre-wet season for all fish species that occurred at both seasons at each site. Histograms of distribution of the values of shifts in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ are also presented, and mean shift in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and sample size (in brackets) for each group are indicated. 12M = Twelve Mile; CL and PL = Curralea and Paradise Lakes; Gon = Gonong; Mund = Munduran; Sw = Saltwater. 151

Fig. 5.6. Change in mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ between the pre- (white symbols) and post-wet (black symbols) seasons for animal species collected during both seasons in a) Munduran, b) Gonong, c) Twelve Mile, d) Curralea Lake, e) Paradise Lake and f) Saltwater. *Cn* = *C. nilotica*; *Corix* = corixids; *Coroph* = *Corophium* sp.; *Eh* = *E. hawaiiensis*; *Lc* = *L. calcarifer*; *Ls* = *L. subviridis*; *Mc* = *M. cephalus*; *Ne* = *N. erebi*; *Sm* = *S. multifasciata*; *Tat* = *Tatea* sp.; *Z* = zygoptera larvae. 153

Fig. 5.7. $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values (mean \pm SE) of organisms collected from Saltwater pool. a) $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of epilithic algae, invertebrates (circles) and herbivorous (upward triangles), detritivorous (downwards triangles), macrobenthic carnivorous (squares) and piscivorous (diamonds) fish collected in the pre-wet (white symbols) and post-wet (grey symbols) season. Arrows indicate the range in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of the different classes of producers (see text). C3 and C4 = plant species of C₃ and C₄ metabolism. The ^{13}C depleted *Lates calcarifer* (*Lc*), a species mentioned in text, is also indicated. b), c), d) - Diagrams showing $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (mean \pm SE) of potential food sources (bold; black symbols) and of consumers before and after correction for fractionation for one trophic level. Arrows indicate the direction of fractionation. b) Natant crustaceans (*P. atrinubes* and *M. bennetae*) with epilithic algae and peracarids; c) *S. lineatus* and *L. subviridis* with epilithic algae; d) *G. filamentosus* and *A. australis* (data pooled between seasons) with peracarids and the natant crustaceans *P. atrinubes* and *M. bennetae*. Epil alg = epilithic algae; Perac = peracarid crustaceans (*Corophium* sp. and Leptocheliid tanais). 157

Fig. 5.8. Mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (\pm SE) of producers (black symbols), crabs (white symbols) and fish (grey symbols) collected in Curralea Lake in the pre- and post-wet season. Fish: \circ - herbivores; \odot - detritivores; ∇ - omnivores; \triangle - planktivores; \blacksquare - macrobenthic carnivores; \blacklozenge - piscivores. $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for each trophic level are indicated to the right of each figure. Species mentioned in text are also indicated:

Cc = *C. chanos*; Ci = *C. ignobilis*; Eh = *E. hawaiiensis*; Nc = *N. come*; Sa = *S. argus*; Sm = *S. multifasciata*; Tj = *T. jarbua*..... 161

Fig. 5.9. Mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (\pm SE) of producers (black symbols), invertebrates (white symbols) and fish (grey symbols) collected in Paradise Lake in the pre- and post-wet seasons. Fish: \circ - herbivores; \odot - detritivores; \triangle - planktivores; \square - macrobenthic carnivores; \diamond - piscivores. $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for each trophic level are indicated to the right of each figure. Species mentioned in the text are also indicated: Eh = *E. hawaiiensis*; Ls = *L. subviridis*; Om = *O. mossambicus*; Sm = *S. multifasciata*. (*) plankton not analysed at this site; $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values taken from Curralea Lake. 162

Fig. 5.10. Mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (\pm SE) of producers (black symbols), shrimps and prawns (white symbols) and fish (grey symbols) collected in Munduran pool in the pre- and post-wet season. Fish: \circ - herbivores; \odot - detritivores; \triangle - planktivores; \square - macrobenthic carnivores; \diamond - piscivores. $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for each trophic level are indicated to the right of each figure. In the pre-wet season, *L. subviridis* (Ls) and *S. sihama* (Ss) individuals are represented separately because a large difference in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ between individuals was present. Other species mentioned in the text are also indicated: Cc = *C. chanos*; Lc = *L. calcarifer*; La = *L. argentimaculatus*; Ls = *L. subviridis*; Mc = *M. cephalus*. MPB = microphytobenthos. 164

Fig. 5.11. Mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (\pm SE) of producers (black symbols), decapods (white symbols) and fish (grey symbols) collected in Gonong in the pre- and post-wet season. Fish: \circ - herbivores; \odot - detritivores; \triangle - planktivores; \square - macrobenthic carnivores. $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for each trophic level are indicated to the right of each figure. Animal species mentioned in text are indicated: Amb = *Ambassis* sp.; Cc = *C. chanos*; Ge = *G. erythrourus*; La = *Lutjanus argentimaculatus*. MI = *Metopograpsus latifrons*, Pm = *P. merguensis*; Pw = *Paracleistostoma wardi*, Uc = *Uca signata*. CBOM = coarse benthic organic matter; MPB = microphytobenthos. C_3 plants: Aca = *Acacia* sp.; Aco = *A. corniculatum*; Am = *A. marina*; Ce = *C. equisetifolia*; Et = *E. tomentosa*, Eh = *E. australis*, Hi = *H. indicum*; Rs = *R. stylosa*; Sa = *S. australis*; Ss = *S. subspicata*. For full species names, please refer to Table 5.5. 168

Fig. 5.12. Mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (\pm SE) of producers (black symbols), invertebrates (white symbols) and fish (grey symbols) collected in Twelve Mile pool in the pre- and post-wet seasons. Invertebrates: \circ - insects; \triangle - gastropods; ∇ - *Corophium* sp. \square - decapod crustaceans. Fish: \circ - herbivores; \odot - detritivores; \triangle - planktivores; \square - macrobenthic carnivores; \diamond - piscivores. $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for each trophic level are indicated to the right of each figure. Species mentioned in text are also indicated:

At = *A. telkara*; Eh = *E. hawaiiensis*; Lc = *L. calcarifer*; Mc = *M. cephalus*; Rn = *R. nasutus*. 173

Fig. 6.1. Simplified conceptual model on the physical and ecological factors regulating the importance of terrestrial wetland producers for estuarine food webs in Tropical Australia..... 189

Fig. 6.2. Summary model on the factors influencing food web structure in estuarine areas. Arrows indicate the directions of the influence..... 194

STATEMENT ON SOURCES DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

All research procedures reported here received the approval from the Animal Ethics Committee, James Cook University.

(Kátya Abrantes)
