

**A SCIENTIFIC BASIS FOR A
COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH TO
MANAGING SEA TURTLE BY-CATCH:
THE QUEENSLAND EAST COAST AS A
CASE STUDY**

by

Julie Belinda Robins (BSc)

December 2002

A thesis submitted for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy at

James Cook University

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

As author of this thesis, I understand that James Cook University of North Queensland will make it available for use within the University Library and by microfilm or other means, allow access to users in other approved libraries. All users consulting the thesis will have to sign the following statement:

I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase this thesis in whole or in part without the written consent of the author. I will make appropriate public acknowledgement of the use of any information contained within it.

I do not place any further restrictions on access to this thesis beyond the above.

Julie Belinda Robins

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Julie Robins". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned between the printed name and the date.

Date: 10th May 2003

STATEMENT OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I declare that this thesis is my own work. It has not been submitted in any form for any other degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references given.

Julie Belinda Robins

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Julie Robins". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned between the printed name and the date.

Date: 10th May 2003

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis would not have been possible without the guidance, patience and assistance of Professor Helene Marsh, to whom I am deeply indebted. Many others also significantly assisted including Dr David Mayer, who tirelessly dealt with my requests for statistical advice and assistance; and Dr Colin Limpus, who provided access to rodeo-caught sea turtles and was always willing to discuss sea turtle biology and provide feedback on my interpretation of the results. I also thank Dr Ian Poiner, Dr Aubrey Harris and Mike Dredge for their advice during the instigation of the sea turtle bycatch monitoring program. Chuck Dickerson provided invaluable information on monitoring sea turtles using ultrasonic and radio-telemetry equipment. Dr Adam Lewis provided bathymetry data and comments on the analysis of sea turtle densities.

The information gathered in this thesis would not have been possible without the voluntary assistance of commercial fishers in the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery. I would particularly like to acknowledge Laurie Holt and Ron Woodhead who made their vessels readily available for the collection of trawl-caught sea turtles.

I thank staff of the Southern Fisheries Centre who assisted with various aspects of this thesis including Jason McGilvray, Matthew Campbell, Kate Yeomans, Adam Butcher, Darren Smallwood, Darren Cameron, James Haddy, and Ian Brown, as well as Malcom Dunning (Queensland Fisheries Service).

This thesis was made possible by support and funding from James Cook University, the Queensland Department of Primary Industries, the Queensland Fisheries Service, the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation and the Reef CRC.

Finally, I thank Rik Buckworth for being a traveling companion in “The Quest for the Grange” and Ian Halliday, for his assistance, advice, patience and tolerance during the numerous years that this thesis has taken.

PUBLICATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS THESIS

This thesis has been undertaken over an extended period. Information presented in this thesis has been published in a variety of forums, including peer-reviewed articles, funding agency reports, and reports to various Government committees.

Robins, J.B. (1995). Estimated catch and mortality of sea turtles from the east coast otter trawl fishery of Queensland, Australia. *Biological Conservation* 74:157-167.

Robins, J., Yeomans, K. and Mayer, D. (1997). Monitoring the impact of trawling on sea turtle populations of the Queensland east coast. Supplementary report to the Queensland Department of Environment. 26 pps.

Robins, J.B., and Mayer, D.C. (1998). Monitoring the impact of trawling on sea turtle populations of the Queensland East Coast. *FRDC Final Report Project No T93/229*, 56 pps.

Slater, J., Limpus, C., **Robins, J.**, Pantus, F., and Chaloupka, M. (1998). Risk assessment of sea turtle capture in the Queensland East Coast otter trawl fishery. Inter-agency report to TrawlMAC, 31 pps.

Estimates of the size and composition of sea turtle by-catch presented in this thesis were incorporated in the Queensland Trawl Management Plan (2000), as a legally binding reference point from which reduction targets for sea turtle by-catch were calculated.

Estimates of the relative spatial distribution of sea turtles presented in this thesis are being used by the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority in the selection of Representative Areas to enhance protection of the biodiversity within the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area at the time of writing.

Advice on critical areas for sea turtle by-catch is being provided to the Queensland Fisheries Service, to assist in the development of a strategy to monitor the effectiveness of TEDs in the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery as at the time of writing.

RELATED PUBLICATIONS

Armstrong, M., **Robins, J.** and Maguire, K. (2000). Recovery planning for marine turtles in Australia: Benefits of a co-ordinated approach. In: *Sea turtles of the*

Indo-Pacific: Research, management and conservation. Proceedings of the Second ASEAN Symposium and Workshop on Sea Turtle biology and Conservation. Eds. Pilcher, N. and Ismail, G., University of Malaysia. ASEAN Academic Press, London. pp 261-276.

Burrige, C.Y. and **Robins, J.B.** (2000). Benefits of statistical blocking techniques in the design of gear evaluation trials: introducing the Latin Square design. *Fisheries Research* 47: 69-79.

Robins, J.B. and Dredge, M.C.L. (2000). Strategies used to achieve the industry adoption of by-catch reduction devices in prawn trawl fisheries of northern Australian trawl fisheries. In: *Management for Sustainable Ecosystems*, Eds. P. Hale, A. Petrie, D. Maloney and P. Sattler. Centre for Conservation Biology, the University of Queensland, Brisbane. pp. 147-153.

Robins, J.B. and Courtney, A.J. (1999). Status report on by-catch within the Queensland Trawl Fishery. In: *Proceedings of the Australian Society for Fish Biology Workshop on Establishing Meaningful Targets for By-catch Reduction in Australian Fisheries*. Hobart, 24-25th September 1998. Eds. Buxton, C. and Eayrs, S., Australian Society for Fish Biology, Sydney. Pp 24-45.

Robins, J.B., Campbell, M.C. and McGilvray, J.G. (1999). Reducing prawn trawl fishery by-catch in Australia: An overview and an example from Queensland. *Marine Fisheries Review* 61(3): 46-55.

Robins, J.B. and McGilvray, J.G. (1998). The AusTED II, an improved trawl efficiency device 2. Commercial performance. *Fisheries Research* 42: 1-13.

Tucker, A.D., **Robins, J.B.** and McPhee, D.P. (1997). Adopting TEDs in Australia and the USA: what reasons promote successful technology transfer? *Coastal Management* 25(4): 405-421.

Robins-Troeger, J.B., Buckworth, R.C. and Dredge, M.C.L. (1995) Development of a trawl efficiency device (TED) for Australian prawn fisheries II: field evaluations of the AusTED. *Fisheries Research* 22: 107-117.

Robins-Troeger, J.B. (1994). Evaluation of the Morrison soft TED: prawn and by-catch variation in Moreton Bay Queensland. *Fisheries Research* 19: 205-217.

ABSTRACT

Key words: sea turtles, by-catch, trawling, TEDs, compliance strategy

The Australian continental shelf is one of the few remaining areas of the world where sea turtle populations have been subject to relatively small levels of direct harvest and where nesting- and feeding-ground habitats remain essentially intact. The area supports six of the world's seven sea turtle species (i.e., *Chelonia mydas*, *Caretta caretta*, *Eretmochelys imbricata*, *Natator depressus*, *Lepidochelys olivacea* and *Dermochelys coriacea*), contains significant nesting populations of three species (i.e., *Chelonia mydas*, *Caretta caretta*, *Eretmochelys imbricata*), the world's only nesting populations of *N. depressus* and is the main feeding-grounds area of *Natator depressus*. The incidental capture of sea turtles in the trawl fisheries of northern Australia was a significant threat to sea turtle populations of Australia. Trawl by-catch mortality of *Caretta caretta* is considered to have contributed to the 80% decline in numbers of nesting sea turtles in eastern Australia, but this speculation is based on limited or unpublished data relating to mortality associated with trawl fisheries. There is limited information on the potential impact of trawl fisheries on other sea turtle species that occur in Australian waters. Turtle Excluder Devices (TEDs) were legislated for use in trawl fisheries of northern Australia in 1999. TEDs allow sea turtles to escape from trawl nets whilst enabling the trawl fishery to continue to operate and catch prawns. TEDs can be an effective solution to sea turtle by-catch, but the adoption and use of TEDs in a fishery needs to be monitored to ensure that the devices are having the desired outcome i.e., sea turtle exclusion. The scale and geographic extent of Australian trawl fisheries have the potential to result in a poor capacity to monitor the effective use of TEDs by fishers. TED compliance strategies (i.e., monitoring and enforcement) should be focused in areas where the effective use of TEDs would have the greatest benefit to sea turtle conservation i.e., areas where sea turtle by-catch or mortality is greatest.

The present study adopted a comprehensive approach to understanding the interaction between trawling and endangered sea turtle species in waters adjacent to the Queensland east coast, by collecting and utilising baseline data on the size and distribution of sea turtle by-catch. Aspects of compliance strategies for TEDs that would contribute to the

sustainable management of sea turtle by-catch in trawl fisheries are also considered. In this context, the following objectives are addressed in this thesis:

- (i) To estimate the number and species composition of sea turtles caught and killed in a multiple sector trawl fishery using spatial stratification;
- (ii) To examine the behavioural responses of sea turtles to trawl capture in order to investigate the potential for post-trawl mortality;
- (iii) To investigate the factors that influence the distribution pattern of sea turtles, and from this analysis, predict the relative in-water densities of sea turtles at broad spatial scales; and
- (iv) To develop a spatially explicit strategy for TED compliance (i.e., monitoring and enforcement), based on an assessment of the interaction between sea turtles and fishing effort.

The thesis concentrates on sea turtle by-catch in the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery, but has broader implications for the management of sea turtles in their feeding-grounds.

Baseline information on sea turtle by-catch was collected from select commercial fishers who voluntarily reported information on sea turtles caught in the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery. About 1,500 sea turtles were reported caught during ~24,000 days of trawling by 105 fishers who participated in a voluntary program to monitor sea turtle by-catch between 1991 and 1996. Stratified, weighted analysis of the data resulted in an annual estimated sea turtle catch of about 5,900 for the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery, given a mean annual total fleet effort of about 85,000 days fished per year. The catch was comprised of *Caretta caretta* (~50%), *Chelonia mydas* (27%), *Natator depressus* (16%) and *Lepidochelys olivacea* (6%). The fishery had minimal catches of *Eretmochelys imbricata* and *Dermochelys coriacea*. Sea turtle by-catch was dominated by immature individuals, with between 60 and 80% of individuals caught being immature, based on approximate size-at-maturity for each species. Estimates of total mortality were based on observed rates as well as published mortality rates from USA shrimp trawl fisheries. In general, mortality rates of sea turtles caught in the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery were lower than mortality rates reported for other trawl fisheries in northern Australia and the USA. Lower mortality rates were probably a function of the tow duration associated with various sectors of the Queensland East

Coast Trawl Fishery. However, the combined by-catch of sea turtles in the three major trawl fisheries of northern Australia (i.e., the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery, the Northern Prawn Fishery and Torres Strait Prawn Fishery) was estimated to be of sufficient magnitude to have contributed to the observed declines in nesting numbers of east Australian *Caretta caretta*. Estimates of the mortality of sea turtles in the trawl fisheries of northern Australia raise concerns about the likely impacts of these fisheries on other species of sea turtle, particularly Australian sub-populations of *Natator depressus* and *Lepidochelys olivacea*. These species frequent feeding-ground habitats typical of trawl grounds and are poorly monitored through nesting-ground surveys. The scale of impact estimated in this thesis indicates that the use of TEDs in the trawl fisheries of northern Australia is warranted.

Mortality rates of trawl-caught sea turtles could be higher than currently estimated if sea turtles die after release as a consequence of the delayed effects of capture or secondary mortality resulting from changes in diving behaviour. Six trawl-caught sea turtles were monitored post-release using ultrasonic transmitters and Temperature Depth Recorders in order to assess their diving patterns for signs of modified behaviour. Two rodeo-caught sea turtles were monitored post-release as controls to the trawl-caught individuals. All sea turtles swam rapidly away from the point of release and displayed a period of frequent surfacing behaviour that was speculated to represent swimming and hyperventilation. The sea turtles then settled into a steady pattern where dive intervals were long and regular. This was speculated to represent recovery behaviour. ‘Normal’ activity patterns, as documented in the literature, were not apparent in the dive profiles of the trawl-caught sea turtles within the post-release monitoring period (i.e., 66 hours). Rodeo-caught sea turtles displayed ‘normal’ activity patterns at about 85 and 111 hours post-release. There was no evidence of delayed post-release mortality in the limited number of individuals monitored. However, the trawl-caught sea turtles displayed modified diving patterns that potentially made them more susceptible to secondary mortality such as boat strike or predation. Recovering sea turtles did not appear to undertake normal feeding activities, suggesting that sea turtles exposed to non-lethal interactions with human activities on a frequent basis may have lower growth rates. The results suggest that sea turtles are affected by interactions with humans to a much greater extent than previously thought and that the recovery period of such interactions can take several days.

The relative spatial distribution of sea turtles is poorly known and is insufficient for developing management plans, such as monitoring and enforcement strategies for TEDs. Sea turtle catch per unit effort from trawl captures and sea turtle sightings from aerial surveys were used to estimate the relative density of sea turtles in the waters adjacent to the Queensland east coast. As expected, sea turtles were not evenly distributed, with several areas having exceptionally high relative densities. In trawled areas, the relative density of sea turtles was significantly correlated with the benthic species trawled (e.g., species of prawn) as well as water-depth. *Natator depressus* and *Lepidochelys olivacea* had high relative densities in inshore, tropical waters less than 40 m deep, where tiger prawns (*Penaeus esculentus*, *P. semisulcatus*) and endeavour prawns (*Metapenaeus endeavouri*, *M. ensis*) were caught most commonly. *Caretta caretta* had high relative densities in inshore, sub-tropical waters less than 30 m deep, where banana prawns (*Fenneropenaeus merguensis*) or bay prawns (*Metapenaeus bennettiae* i.e., Moreton Bay) were caught most commonly. The relative density of sea turtles was predicted for the majority of waters adjacent to the Queensland east coast based on the mean sea turtle CPUE and information on target species caught most commonly and mean-depth trawled. The relative distribution of sea turtle density developed in this thesis provides the first broad scale maps that quantitatively identify areas that appear to be important as sea turtle habitat. This information could be used to assist the conservation management of sea turtles populations of eastern Australia.

Sea turtle by-catch in northern Australia has been addressed through the mandatory use of Turtle Excluder Devices (TEDs) in otter trawl fisheries. However, the use of TEDs in a fishery needs to be supported by monitoring or enforcement to ensure that TEDs are used effectively, but this is difficult in fishery that operates over a large geographic scale. A pragmatic solution would be to target the monitoring and enforcement of TEDs in critical areas where reductions in sea turtle by-catch will make the greatest contribution to recovery of impacted populations of sea turtles. The spatial distribution of effort for the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery in the year 2001 was integrated with the relative density of sea turtles to identify critical areas for sea turtle by-catch. Critical areas for sea turtle by-catch were similar despite the use of qualitative or quantitative methods. The results suggest that effective TED use is most critical in the inshore waters of the Queensland east coast. Monitoring and enforcing TEDs in these critical areas would enable fisheries managers to measure progress towards the stated

target of the 95% reduction in sea turtle by-catch and contribute to the sustainable management of the fishery. The use of TEDs in non-critical areas should also be monitored, but because of the lower contribution of these areas to sea turtle by-catch, monitoring and enforcement could take place with less intensity. Critical areas for monitoring the effective use of TEDs may change if the intensity of fishing effort changes and may become unnecessary should it be demonstrated that most fishers comply fully with TED regulations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF ACCESS	ii
STATEMENT OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
PUBLICATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS THESIS	v
ABSTRACT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
DEFINITIONS	xxi
CHAPTER 1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 CHAPTER SUMMARY.....	1
1.2 BACKGROUND.....	2
1.2.1 Sustainable Development of Marine Resources	2
1.2.2 By-catch	3
1.2.3 Sea Turtle by-catch	6
1.2.4 Managing by-catch	10
1.3 THE NEED FOR A MORE COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH	13
1.3.1 Data requirements	14
1.3.2 The Queensland East Coast as a Case Study	15
1.4 AIMS AND OBJECTIVES	17
1.5 THESIS OUTLINE	17
1.6 METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH	18
1.6.1 Issues	18
1.6.2 Overview	19
CHAPTER 2. BIOLOGY AND ECOLOGY OF SEA TURTLES	21
2.1 CHAPTER SUMMARY	21
2.2 INTRODUCTION	22
2.2.1 Conservation Status	22
2.3 LIFE HISTORY	24
2.4 DISTRIBUTION	28
2.4.1 Inferring distribution from generalised feeding strategies	29
2.4.2 Distribution in Australia	31
2.5 POPULATION DYNAMICS	35

2.5.1 <i>Population models</i>	35
2.5.2 <i>Impact of sea turtle by-catch on population trends</i>	36
2.6 DIVING ABILITIES	38
2.6.1 <i>Natural dive patterns</i>	39
2.6.2 <i>Effect of trawl-capture</i>	42
2.6.3 <i>Delayed mortality post-release</i>	43
2.6.4 <i>Modified behaviour post-release</i>	43
CHAPTER 3. SCALE AND COMPOSITON OF SEA TURTLE BY-CATCH	45
3.1 CHAPTER SUMMARY	45
3.2 INTRODUCTION	46
3.2.1 <i>Why quantify sea turtle by-catch when TEDs are mandatory?</i>	46
3.2.2 <i>How is this information useful to by-catch management?</i>	47
3.2.3 <i>Other benefits</i>	48
3.2.4 <i>Aims of this chapter</i>	48
3.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	48
3.3.1 <i>Recording of sea turtle by-catch</i>	48
3.3.2 <i>Recording and allocation of effort</i>	50
3.3.3 <i>Estimation procedures</i>	53
3.3.4 <i>Sea turtle by-catch mortality</i>	55
3.3.5 <i>Verification of the data</i>	56
3.3.6 <i>Assumptions and inherent difficulties of these methods</i>	57
3.4 RESULTS	58
3.4.1 <i>Comparison of fleet characteristics</i>	58
3.4.2 <i>Sea turtle CPUE per fishing sector</i>	60
3.4.3 <i>Estimated sea turtle catch</i>	61
3.4.4 <i>Size class of reported sea turtle by-catch</i>	62
3.4.5 <i>Observed mortality rates</i>	64
3.4.6 <i>Tow duration versus mortality</i>	67
3.4.7 <i>Estimates of expected mortality based on tow duration</i>	69
3.4.8 <i>Estimated annual kill</i>	72
3.5 DISCUSSION	75
3.5.1 <i>Estimated sea turtle by-catch</i>	75
3.5.2 <i>Mortality rates</i>	78
3.5.3 <i>Impact of by-catch on sea turtle populations</i>	81
3.6 CONCLUSIONS	85

CHAPTER 4. RESPONSES OF SEA TURTLES TO CAPTURE	86
4.1 CHAPTER SUMMARY	86
4.2 INTRODUCTION	87
<i>4.2.1 Why investigate the response of sea turtles to capture?</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>4.2.2 Aims of this chapter</i>	<i>87</i>
4.3 MATERIAL AND METHODS	88
<i>4.3.1 Rationale of method</i>	<i>88</i>
<i>4.3.2 The study site</i>	<i>89</i>
<i>4.3.3 Sources of sea turtles</i>	<i>89</i>
<i>4.3.4 Recording of dive profiles and field work</i>	<i>90</i>
<i>4.3.5 Data analysis</i>	<i>93</i>
4.4 RESULTS	95
<i>4.4.1 Post-release behaviour of sea turtles</i>	<i>98</i>
<i>4.4.2 Differences in the post-release dive profiles between trawl-caught and rodeo-caught sea turtles</i>	<i>108</i>
4.5 DISCUSSION	112
<i>4.5.1 Evidence of delayed post-trawl mortality</i>	<i>112</i>
<i>4.5.2 Interpretation of post-release behaviour</i>	<i>114</i>
<i>4.5.3 Implications for sea turtle research</i>	<i>117</i>
4.6 CONCLUSIONS	117
CHAPTER 5. SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF SEA TURTLES	118
5.1 CHAPTER SUMMARY	118
5.2 INTRODUCTION	119
<i>5.2.1 Current knowledge of sea turtle distribution in feeding-grounds</i>	<i>119</i>
<i>5.2.2 Estimating sea turtle density in feeding-grounds</i>	<i>119</i>
<i>5.2.3 Aims of this chapter</i>	<i>122</i>
5.3 METHODS	122
<i>5.3.1 Sea turtle density calculated from trawl captures</i>	<i>122</i>
<i>5.3.2 Sea turtle density calculated from aerial survey sightings</i>	<i>132</i>
<i>5.3.3 Assumptions and inherent difficulties of these methods</i>	<i>136</i>
5.4 RESULTS	139
<i>5.4.1 In-water sea turtle density estimated from trawl captures</i>	<i>139</i>
<i>5.4.2 In-water sea turtle density estimated aerial survey sightings</i>	<i>166</i>
5.5 DISCUSSION	170
<i>5.5.1 In-water relative sea turtle density as suggested by sea turtle CPUE</i>	<i>170</i>
<i>5.5.2 In-water relative sea turtle density as suggested by sighted sea turtles per km²</i>	<i>172</i>
<i>5.5.3 Implications of results</i>	<i>175</i>
5.6 CONCLUSIONS	179

CHAPTER 6. ASSESSMENT OF CRITICAL AREAS FOR SEA TURTLE BY-CATCH AND MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS	180
6.1 CHAPTER SUMMARY	180
6.2 INTRODUCTION	181
<i>6.2.1 Reduction targets for sea turtle by-catch</i>	<i>182</i>
<i>6.2.2 The use of TEDs in a fishery: identifying critical areas</i>	<i>184</i>
<i>6.2.3 Aims of this chapter</i>	<i>185</i>
6.3 METHODS	185
<i>6.3.1 Relative density of sea turtles</i>	<i>185</i>
<i>6.3.2 Total fleet effort</i>	<i>185</i>
<i>6.3.3 Identification of critical areas</i>	<i>186</i>
<i>6.3.4 Ranking of critical areas for predicted catch of sea turtles</i>	<i>191</i>
<i>6.3.5 Assumptions and inherent difficulties of the methods</i>	<i>191</i>
6.4 RESULTS	192
<i>6.4.1 Total fleet effort per CFISH site</i>	<i>192</i>
<i>6.4.2 Identification of critical areas</i>	<i>194</i>
<i>6.4.3 Ranking of critical areas for predicted catch of sea turtles</i>	<i>205</i>
6.5 DISCUSSION	207
<i>6.5.1 Identification of critical areas</i>	<i>207</i>
<i>6.5.2 Challenges for a TED compliance program</i>	<i>209</i>
6.6 CONCLUSIONS	210
CHAPTER 7. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS	211
7.1 CHAPTER SUMMARY	211
7.2 CONTRIBUTIONS TO KNOWLEDGE	212
<i>7.2.1 Estimated catch and mortality</i>	<i>212</i>
<i>7.2.2. Response of sea turtles to capture</i>	<i>213</i>
<i>7.2.3. Spatial distribution of sea turtles</i>	<i>213</i>
<i>7.2.4 Assessment of critical areas for sea turtle by-catch and management implications</i>	<i>214</i>
7.3 LIMITATIONS	215
7.4 IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	216
<i>7.4.1 By-catch</i>	<i>216</i>
<i>7.4.2 Sea turtles</i>	<i>218</i>
7.5 FINAL REMARKS	220
CHAPTER 8. REFERENCES	222

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Definitions of by-catch	4
Table 1.2 Criteria that determine the sustainability of by-catch species	5
Table 1.3 Annual catch and effort statistics of sea turtle by-catch in commercial fisheries	7
Table 2.1 Conservation status of sea turtles	22
Table 2.2 Spatial classification of a generalised sea turtle life cycle	27
Table 2.3 Hypothetical preferred feeding-grounds of sea turtles	31
Table 2.4 Aspects of sea turtle physiology that enhance their diving capacity	39
Table 2.5 Parameters associated with the diving patterns of sea turtles	41
Table 3.1 Classification of sea turtle condition upon capture	49
Table 3.2 Sector characteristics of the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery	51
Table 3.3 Mean annual effort per vessel from 1991 to 1996	59
Table 3.4 Mean annual commercial catch and effort the sample fleet as a percentage of the total fleet	59
Table 3.5 Sea turtle CPUE derived from commercial and research trawls	61
Table 3.6 Mean annual sea turtle by-catch in the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery	61
Table 3.7 Mean annual sea turtle by-catch by species and fishing sector	62
Table 3.8 Proportion of immature and mature sea turtles	62
Table 3.9 Reported condition upon capture and observed mortality rates of sea turtle by-catch	65
Table 3.10 Observed mortality rates and maturity status of sea turtles by-catch	67
Table 3.11 Expected mortality rates of trawl-caught sea turtles based on the relationship between mortality and tow duration	71
Table 3.12 Estimated annual kill of sea turtles in the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery	73
Table 3.13 Estimated kill of sea turtles in trawl fisheries of northern Australia under various by-catch mortality scenarios	82
Table 4.1 Specifications of biotelemetry equipment used to monitor sea turtles	91
Table 4.2 Details of trawl-caught and rodeo-caught sea turtles that were monitored post-release	96
Table 4.3 Residual maximum likelihood (REML) analysis of surface events per period	109
Table 4.4 Dive intervals of trawl-caught and rodeo-caught sea turtles	111
Table 4.5 Percent-time-submerged of trawl-caught and rodeo-caught sea turtles	112
Table 4.6 Probability of encountering a dead trawl-caught sea turtle based on a range of mortality scenarios	113
Table 5.1 Class divisions of sea turtle CPUE	131
Table 5.2 Class divisions of aerial survey sea turtle sightings (sea turtles per km ²)	135

Table 5.3 Frequency of sea turtle capture by depth	153
Table 5.4 Accumulated analysis of deviance of catch rates for all species	153
Table 5.5 Accumulated analysis of deviance of catch rates of <i>N. depressus</i>	154
Table 5.6 Accumulated analysis of deviance of catch rates of <i>C. mydas</i>	154
Table 5.7 Accumulated analysis of deviance of catch rates of <i>C. caretta</i>	155
Table 5.8 Accumulated analysis of deviance of catch rates for <i>L. olivacea</i>	155
Table 5.9 Accumulated analysis of deviance of catch rates for <i>E. imbricata</i>	156
Table 5.10 Mean sea turtle CPUE (sea turtles caught per day fished) estimated from the GLM for the combination of fishing sector and depth	157
Table 5.11 Details of aerial survey sightings of sea turtles for survey blocks	166
Table 6.1 Reduction targets for sea turtle by-catch	183
Table 6.2 Combinations of qualitative classes of sea turtle CPUE and fishing effort	188
Table 6.3 Combinations of qualitative classes of sea turtle sightings per km ² derived from aerial survey and fishing effort	188
Table 6.4 Sea turtle mortality rates applied to the product of sea turtle CPUE by fishing effort, based on the mean tow duration of the fishing sector of a CFISH site	190
Table 6.5 Distribution of year-2001 fishing effort	192
Table 6.6 Number of CFISH sites per qualitative class of sea turtle CPUE and fishing effort	194
Table 6.7 Number of CFISH sites per qualitative class of sea turtle sightings per km ² derived from aerial survey and fishing effort	195
Table 6.8 Number of CFISH sites per level of predicted sea turtle catch	198
Table 6.9 Number of CFISH sites per level of predicted sea turtle mortality	200
Table 6.10 Number of CFISH sites contributing to the ranked cumulative catch of sea turtles ...	205
Table 6.11 Summary of critical areas identified by Methods One, Two and Three	207

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Locality map of trawl fisheries in Australia	9
Figure 2.1 Generalised life cycle of sea turtles	26
Figure 2.2 Nesting locations of sea turtle species in northern Australia	33
Figure 3.1 Spatial precision of fishing effort in the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery as Reported in logbooks	51
Figure 3.2 Comparison of the operational characteristics of the sample and total fleet	59
Figure 3.3 Size distribution of trawl-caught sea turtles in the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery	62
Figure 3.4 Direct mortality of trawl-caught sea turtles as a function of tow duration	67
Figure 3.5 Potential mortality of trawl-caught sea turtles as a function of tow duration	68
Figure 4.1 Schematic diagram of biotelemetry equipment	92
Figure 4.2 Position of biotelemetry equipment when attached to a sea turtle	93
Figure 4.3 Moreton Bay including locations (taken with GPS) of trawl-caught and rodeo-caught turtles sighted subsequent to their release	95
Figure 4.4 General dive patterns of trawl-caught and rodeo-caught sea turtles	99
Figure 4.5 Partial dive profile of trawl-caught sea turtle T1 monitored using real-time ultrasonic tracking equipment	100
Figure 4.6 Partial dive profile of trawl-caught sea turtle T2 monitored using real-time ultrasonic tracking equipment	101
Figure 4.7 Partial dive profile of trawl-caught sea turtle T3 monitored using real-time ultrasonic tracking equipment	102
Figure 4.8 Partial dive profile of trawl-caught sea turtle T4 monitored using real-time ultrasonic tracking equipment	103
Figure 4.9 Continuous dive profile of trawl-caught sea turtle T5 monitored using TDRs	104
Figure 4.10 Continuous dive profile of trawl-caught sea turtle T6 monitored using TDRs	105
Figure 4.11 Continuous dive profile of rodeo-caught sea turtle R1 monitored using TDRs	106
Figure 4.12 Continuous dive profile of rodeo-caught sea turtle R2 monitored using TDRs	107
Figure 4.13 Surface events per hour of trawl-caught and rodeo-caught sea turtles	109
Figure 4.14 Bias-corrected back-calculated mean surface events per hour for trawl-caught and rodeo-caught sea turtles post-release monitored using TDRs	110
Figure 5.1 Mean depth per CFISH site estimated from logbook and modelled bathymetry data for waters up to 500m deep	126
Figure 5.2 Fishing sector per CFISH site, based on most frequent target catch per year for 1991 to 2000	128
Figure 5.3 Geometric progression of the inverse sea turtle CPUE versus sea turtle CPUE	130
Figure 5.4 Aerial survey blocks from which sea turtle sightings were used to	

derive relative sighted sea turtle density	132
Figure 5.5 Observed sea turtle CPUE per CFISH grid (30 ² nm) for all species	139
Figure 5.6 Observed sea turtle CPUE per CFISH grid (30 ² nm) for <i>N. depressus</i>	140
Figure 5.7 Observed sea turtle CPUE per CFISH grid (30 ² nm) for <i>C. mydas</i>	141
Figure 5.8 Observed sea turtle CPUE per CFISH grid (30 ² nm) for <i>C. caretta</i>	142
Figure 5.9 Observed sea turtle CPUE per CFISH grid (30 ² nm) for <i>L. olivacea</i>	143
Figure 5.10 Observed sea turtle CPUE per CFISH grid (30 ² nm) for <i>E. imbricata</i>	144
Figure 5.11 Observed sea turtle CPUE per CFISH site (6 ² nm) for all species	146
Figure 5.12 Observed sea turtle CPUE per CFISH site (6 ² nm) for <i>N. depressus</i>	147
Figure 5.13 Observed sea turtle CPUE per CFISH site (6 ² nm) for <i>C. mydas</i>	148
Figure 5.14 Observed sea turtle CPUE per CFISH site (6 ² nm) for <i>C. caretta</i>	149
Figure 5.15 Observed sea turtle CPUE per CFISH site (6 ² nm) for <i>L. olivacea</i>	150
Figure 5.16 Observed sea turtle CPUE for CFISH sites (6 ² nm) for <i>E. imbricata</i>	151
Figure 5.17 Predicted sea turtle CPUE per CFISH site (6 ² nm) for all species	159
Figure 5.28 Predicted sea turtle CPUE per CFISH site (6 ² nm) for <i>N. depressus</i>	160
Figure 5.19 Predicted sea turtle CPUE per CFISH site (6 ² nm) for <i>C. mydas</i>	161
Figure 5.20 Predicted sea turtle CPUE per CFISH site (6 ² nm) for <i>C. caretta</i>	162
Figure 5.21 Predicted sea turtle CPUE per CFISH site (6 ² nm) for <i>L. olivacea</i>	163
Figure 5.22 Predicted sea turtle CPUE per CFISH site (6 ² nm) for <i>E. imbricata</i>	164
Figure 5.23 Sighted sea turtle density (sea turtles per km ²) per CFISH grid (30 ² nm)	166
Figure 5.24 Sighted sea turtle density (sea turtles per km ²) per CFISH site (6 ² nm)	168
Figure 5.25 Comparison of observed sea turtle CPUE and sighted sea turtle density for Moreton Bay	173
Figure 6.1 Diagrammatic representation of a Turtle Excluder Device	181
Figure 6.2 Conceptual model of the interaction between sea turtle density and fishing effort	187
Figure 6.3 Year-2001 total fleet effort (days fished) per CFISH site (6 ² nm)	193
Figure 6.4 Qualitative combination of sea turtle CPUE derived from trawl captures and fishing effort per CFISH site (6 ² nm)	196
Figure 6.5 Qualitative combination of sea turtle sightings derived from aerial survey and fishing effort per CFISH site (6 ² nm)	197
Figure 6.6 Relative density of predicted sea turtle capture per CFISH site (6 ² nm)	199
Figure 6.7 Relative density of predicted sea turtle mortality per CFISH site (6 ² nm), based on direct mortality rates per sector	202
Figure 6.8 Relative density of predicted sea turtle mortality per CFISH site (6 ² nm), based on potential mortality rates per sector	203
Figure 6.9 Relative density of predicted sea turtle mortality per CFISH site (6 ² nm), based on USA rates of mortality rates	204
Figure 6.10 Ranked percent cumulative catch of sea turtles in the Queensland East Cost Trawl Fishery	206

GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFMA	Australian Fisheries Management Authority
BRD	By-catch Reduction Device
BRS	Bureau of Resource Sciences
CITES	Conference on International Trade in Endangered Species
CCL	Curved Carapace Length
CFWG	Commonwealth Fisheries Working Group
CPUE	Catch Per Unit of Effort
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
EA	Environment Australia
ESD	Ecologically Sustainable Development
FRDC	Fisheries Research and Development Corporation
GBRMPA	Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority
GBRWHA	Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area
QDPI	Queensland Department of Primary Industries
QECTF	Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery
QEPA	Queensland Environmental Protection Agency
QFS	Queensland Fisheries Service
QPWS	Queensland Park and Wildlife Service
QTRG	Queensland Turtle Research Group
IUCN	World Conservation Union (WCU) (formerly the International Union for the Conservation of Nature)
MPA	Marine Protected Area
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service (USA)
NPF	Northern Prawn Fishery
TED	Turtle Excluder Device
TDR	Temperature Depth Recorder
TSPF	Torres Strait Prawn Fishery

DEFINITIONS

The following terms are defined to establish their meaning as used in this thesis.

By-catch terms

(the) Capture All that is taken in the gear (Hall *et al.* 2000).

Target catch The catch of a species or species assemblage that is primarily sought in a fishery (McCaughran, 1992; Hall 1999), and with particular reference to the Queensland East Coast, it is the dominant species (by weight, number or value) that the fishing operation is aiming to catch.

Non-target catch Species other than the target catch caught incidentally but retained for economic reasons (Hall *et al.* 2000).

By-catch That portion of the capture that is discarded at sea for economic, legal or personal reasons (Hall *et al.* 2000).

CFISH grid A 1,668 km² area (=30² nautical miles = 90 nm²) for the recording of fishery catch and effort in the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery. A CFISH grid is comprised of 25 CFISH sites.

CFISH site A 66.7 km² area (=6² nautical miles = 36 nm²) for the recording of fishery catch and effort in the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery.

Fishing sector A portion of the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery subdivided on the basis of target catch (i.e., dominant by weight).

Sea turtle terms

Hatchling Sea turtles that are recently hatched and have not commenced feeding, including those at-sea using the internalised yolk sac (Musick and Limpus 1996).

Juvenile Sea turtles that have commenced feeding but are not sexually mature, including immature and sub-adult individuals in the epipelagic phase (i.e., oceanic) and demersal phase (i.e., continental) of the generalised life history (Musick and Limpus 1996).

Adult Sea turtles that are as large as the minimum breeding size, including adult-sized individuals that may be sexually immature (Musick and Limpus 1996).

Sub-population A nesting assemblage (IUCN 2000), encompassing those individuals of a genetic stock (which can be monitored at a nesting beach) whilst they are dispersed throughout feeding grounds.